CLARIFYING THE NEWS

By Louise Harding

rus Maladies:

The following item appeared, Jan. 22, 1954 (UP) from Vienna:
"More than 30,000 persons were stricken with influenza in Communist
ngary the last week in December, it was reported in Budapest newspapers
ceived here today."

Anything not understood, is apt to be dubbed "influenza", these days.

Quite lately, a report came from Fort Ord, a training camp in Cali-
rnia, that as many as 200 of the soldiers were overcome every month with
rus Pneumonia, being ill enough to need thirty days' hospitalization. We
re told that this was ascribed to the men being fatigued by training.
nsense! the malady has been all over the United States and Canada.

Jan. 13, 1954, a California Public Health researcher reported (San
ncisco Chronicle) on a type of malaria that may strike as long as ten
ths after the original exposure to the disease.

For this reason, physicians should be on the alert for signs of the disease in persons who have returned from endemic malaria areas such as Korea.

epidemic of vivax malaria broke out in this state, and

Because malaria is not endemic to California, health officers began looking for another source. It was finally discovered that a Korea war veteran had been camping in the area and had had a relapse. The infection was transmitted....by mosquitoes.

Up in the state of Washington, near a spot where polio germs were be-
bred, it was discovered that gophers were contaminated.

March 8, this year, a correspondent told National Guardian (NY) that

It is common knowledge around Camp Dietrich, Md., the germ warfare station, that the subterrain thereabouts is honeycombed with chambers storing an untold number of containers of germs designated for use against "other" peoples.

Two years ago I met a lonely, dazed and fearful soldier, then on convalescence furlough after release from a long stay in Walter Reed Hospital for rheumatic fever caught in the laboratories of Camp Dietrich. The doctors, after saying he was "cured", had warned him this fact was a military secret--that is, that he had caught it there. He told how he had engaged in germ warfare in this country, as one of a six-man team put into the HQ troops of a division on maneuvers, with the knowledge of only very few divisional officers, to try out the less dangerous germs on U.S. Army personnel, unknown to them.

Given unlimited germ warfare supplies, the use of germ warfare against our own troops, the Brass' contempt for "other" peoples, how could the Brass and their masters, our "leaders", fail to avail themselves of the golden opportunity of a Korea? After all we are told Korea was a good testing ground, as Spain was for Mussolini Italy and Hitler Germany.

fecting Ourselves:

Recently this writer read an article on Germ Warfare experiments, by
rys Hughes, MP. He quoted the military correspondent of the London
nes on the subject (March 13, 1954). Here are some paragraphs:

The biological warfare tests.....are of obvious assistance to the Ministry of Supply, and the Home Office in shaping Civil Defence Policy. .....There are two main types of bacterial warfare agents. The first consists of insects and similar pests, used to attack food and crops, or as carriers for disease; such a weapon constitutes only a minor threat.

The other group is composed of micro-organisms--bacteria, fungi and viruses--invisible to the naked eye, which might be used against

persons, animals and plants. .....for military purposes a bacteri warfare agent must have special characteristics to be suitable for dissemination from aircraft in area cloud attacks. It must be extremely virulent so that a very small dose would be effective. It must kill or disable its victim.....It should be capable of being haled, be easily produced in bulk, and of a kind against which the enemy population has little or no immunity.

In a debate in Commons, March 22, 1954, Mr. S. Silverman asked Minister of Supply Sandys:

Is not the right honorable gentleman aware that the thing is already covered in international law by a Geneva Convention against teriological or biological warfare, which Convention this country ratified, together with a great many other countries? Does the ans of the right honorable gentleman mean that pressure or representati are still being made to the United States, who, so far, have not ra fied the Convention?

Mr. Sandys: I do not know how the honorable gentleman can read that into my answer.

Mr. Hughes: In view of the unsatisfactory nature of the Ministe reply, and in order to decide who is the ostrich, I give notice tha I shall raise the matter on the Adjournment.

As far as this writer is concerned, there is no further riddle as t the cause of the great epidemics now raging, particularly of the virus f

When the 22 US ex-prisoners and the one Britisher were interviewed newsmen, as they set off for China, having refused to be repatriated, th reiterated the truth of the charges of Germ Warfare. This was heard by your scribe by means of radio only.

The Nov. 29, 1953 issue of New Age Weekly (New Delhi) quotes the co fession of Capt. John A. Osburn, US Air Force, Serial No. AO 796,188, sh down near Wonsan on a germ bombing mission. He said:

"My training in germ warfare actually started about August 1951, at the atomic, bacteriological and chemical warfare school set up at Turner Air Force Base, Albany, Georgia.

"At the time I went there we were given lectures on construction of germ bombs. .....We learned that the germs carried in these bombs were for spread of such diseases as smallpox, typhoid, yellow fever, cholera and plague. We were told we could use a germ spray."

Col. Andrew J. Evans, Jr., US Air Force, Deputy Commanding Officer of the 58th Fighter-Bomber Wing of USAF, was shot down on March 26, 1953

Throughout his over 7,000 word statement, Col. Evans kept to facts that were directly "within his own knowledge. .....Evans occupied quite a high position before coming to Korea. From July 1950 to July 1951 he was assistant to Air Force General Grussendorf, executive officer to Air Force Chief of Staff General Vandenberg.

It was during this period that he first learned of germ warfare pre parations. He says: "As executive officer, General Grussendorf was in charge of administrative handling of the office of the Chief of Staff. Naturally he came into contact with some matters of a highly-classified nature.....

When Evans arrived in Korea, the US had already been waging germ warfare for the best part of a year.....Evans himself began flying in germ warfare operations, for the first time at the end of December, 1952 He describes the purpose of using germ bombs as to spread germs over roads and towns in an area so that persons going through it or camping in it on their way to and from the front lines would become infected.

Schwable and Dean:

Their conduct, under charges of having made "false" confessions on Germ Warfare, is beneath contempt. Schwable's signed affidavits gave names of high-ranking officers in the US Army who were responsible for

he use of this tactic: not one of them ever came forward to declare that chwable maligned them, and correspondents were kept away from these ac- used, under threat of expulsion from Korea. This in itself is enough, ven without the corroboration of the eminent scientists from half a dozen ountries (only one of them Communist), who made a careful investigation n the spot and declared the charges true. On his return to US, the press uoted Schwable as saying he had not been tortured; but, on trial, he leaded that, while he was "not subjected to physical torture--in the ense of brutality", nevertheless, "the constant questioning and the phys- cal agonies 'warped' his judgment." The "agonies", as far as he dis- losed, consisted mainly of the fact that he was cold--something shared y hundreds of thousands in North Korea and Manchuria after US fliers de- troyed the power plants that supplied electricity for heat. One way or he other, Schwable was a liar and a weakling.

Dean, a major general, while a prisoner wrote a letter praising his aptors for good treatment received by him. Returned home and in danger f court martial, he said, "Other men resisted torture, but I wasn't tor- ured." March 8, 1954, San Mateo (Calif.) Times carried a cut of Schwable nd Dean, with a caption that Schwable yielded to Red torture. Dean car- ied out this impression by declaring that, if ever in front line combat gain, he will carry a suicide pill so as not to yield under torture.

"I don't think anyone knows how much physical torture he can take ntil he has been through it," Dean said. "I never have."

And if he, personally, knew of anyone who had been tortured into con- ession by the Chinese, he failed to mention it!

This writer notes that Dean is now in considerable demand to speak at oman Catholic gatherings: he has been promoted and holds a command in an Francisco.

At the Washington hearing (March 15) public sessions were declared at n end and the court went into private session to review the evidence. hat was decided has not been reported in the press, so far as seen by this riter. Schwable's counsel asked a medal for his client. What he obvious- y deserves is a medal distinguishing him as A Liar and Coward, First Class nd Beyond the Call of Duty, even to US Fascism.

hose ROK Troops:

In an article in Saturday Evening Post, published in January of this ear, Dean made an interesting disclosure:

The General, who headed all American combat troops when the Korean ighting began, said the vulnerability of the Republic of Korea army led o the slaughter of Americans in the first few weeks of the action.

During his command at Taejon, Dean wrote, his first hope was to stem he backward march of the ROK troops.

"These thousands were just marching south, not stopping to fight. OK army headquarters always had some excuse--they had no artillery sup- orts, they could not stop the tanks, they were weary."

These men were conscripts, enlisted at the end of ropes around their ecks. They had no wish to fight for Rhee, who was generally hated, north nd south, in Korea, as an American stooge and tyrant. This circumstance -and not the false claim of Dulles that troops poured over the border in verwhelming numbers from the north--explains the constant retreats that nabled the northern forces to get almost to Pusan in double-quick time.

ertinent to the Conspiracy Against the Rosenbergs:

Ray Tucker, in San Mateo Times, March 30, 1954: .....America's top cientists maintain that the White House, Pentagon and AEC make a major lunder in underestimating the Reds' scientific genius and capacity, es- ecially as many world-renowned Germans staff their laboratories.

Indeed, in answering Vice-President Nixon's statement that British nd American spies speeded up Moscow's nuclear achievements for five years, n AEC spokesman said that "it did not make a day's difference." Other cientists strengthen this viewpoint by reference to the Soviet's amazing uccess in developing the H-bomb.

And what, then, becomes of those hysterical cries of "Judge" Kaufma and Eisenhower, that Julius and Ethel Rosenberg were responsible for all the deaths of US soldiers in Korea? It was merely a false appeal to pre judice and mass hysteria: one can scarcely credit Eisenhower with such ignorance--even though, it is related in Allen and Shannon's "Truman Merry-Go-Round" that when he became president of Columbia University and a friend wanted him to read a certain book, Eisenhower apologized: "To tell you the truth, I haven't read a book in nine years."

The Ray Tucker article above referred to also said:

"Former President Truman did not authorize American experimentation on this project until January, 1950, when the major atomic traitors--Klaus Fuchs, Alan Nunn May and their colleagues--were behind prison bars Yet Russia exploded an H-bomb in 1952, according to a White House announcement."

Lewis L. Strauss:

Strauss--who for some strange reason insists on having his name pro nounced Straws--is now disclosed as having been the individual who demanded development of the Hydrogen Bomb, Oppenheimer and the rest of his colleagues objecting until Truman demanded that they go ahead.

On the 9th of April, an interesting statement was made over the Don Lee radio station, by Virgil Pinkley (who gives every sign of being a R.C. propagandist). He said that Strauss was former financial adviser o the Rockefellers.

Strauss was made chairman of AEC at the very same time (July) last year, when the Defense Department's Research and Development Board was abolished by Wilson; and Wilson now admits that was done to get rid of Oppenheimer as consultant.

All that has thus far been shown about Oppenheimer is that he knew some Communists, and that he contributed liberally to the cause of the Spanish Republic. However, Wilson now says (Reston, in N.Y. Times) that he "has the greatest sympathy for anyone who made a mistake and reformed But, he added: "I think they ought to be reformed somewhere else than i the military services."

General Motors, Wilson's employers in the past (?), certainly, as f as anybody has heard, have not reformed from the time when they offered overwhelming aid to the assassins of the Republic of Spain.

And now, considering the background of Strauss or Straws, we get a glimpse of the forces behind all this H-bomb flourishing and the worldwi fight of Monopoly Capital against new social regimes.

Dulles, the prominent cartelist, was adviser to Harry Truman, who illegally shoved this country into the war on Korea. He is adviser to Dwight D. Eisenhower, who is shoving the country into another despicable war. Republicans and Democrats alike, they know who puts up for Presidential campaigns. Those "free" elections! The forthcoming one in USA is admittedly going to cost $2,800,000. And under the table, how much more nobody knows, or will ever know.

They Vanished from View:

What has become of those soldiers who, persisting in the declaratio that charges of Germ Warfare were true, were brought back to US, forbidd interviews with the press, and hospitalized as mentally incompetent? Ar they still shut up? And if so, does that help explain why Dean and Schwable crawled?

What Makes Rockefeller Tick:

The following entries from "Ambassador Dodd's Diary" give an insigh into the nature of the power behind the World Council of Churches.

January 1, 1934 - John Foster Dulles of NY and Laird Bell of Chicago.....reported readiness for the debt conference which Schacht had

alled.....I had a message from President Roosevelt that the American Gov-
rnment was much concerned and hoped I could render assistance. Dulles
nd Bell were described as official spokesmen of creditors holding more
han a billion dollars. American bankers had loaned this after the Dawes
nd Young negotiations, at high rates, issued bonds in NY and sold them
t $90 to the public, taking enormous profits for themselves.....Now my
ob is to save as much of this as possible. The National City and Chase
ational banks hold $100,000,000 of these securities. Last June Roose-
elt said: ".....the bankers have gotten themselves into this. You must
end what personal, unofficial aid you can, but no more." Now the cred-
tors, the NY bankers, have organized and pressed the government into
ighting their battles. .....At 1:30 Ivy Lee and his son James came to
unch. Ivy Lee showed himself at once a capitalist and an advocate of
ascism.

January 29 - .....Lee was on his way back home, via Paris, to NY to
ontinue his strange work.

July 24 - (referring to a dinner at the house of Ribbentrop) I
as surprised and a little affronted at the presence at the dinner of
oung James Lee, son of Ivy Lee, the clever big business propagandist who
as been trying for a year or more to sell the Nazi regime to the American
ublic. A House of Representatives investigation, organized by Dickstein,
evealed that Ivy Lee has been receiving $33,000 a year for his work.

August 23 - .....In the afternoon Ivy Lee came with his smooth
oung son. The young son, last winter, thought a speech of mine implied
riticism of Fascist Europe, which it did most gently and indirectly.
ater I learned of the elder Lee's relations with the German Government.
.....(Lee) said: "Ilgner of the Carl Schurz Foundation here said you
ere an anti-Nazi, or perhaps merely against the Foundation." ....Ilgner
s head of the great I.G. Farben corporation in Germany.

August 24 - Dr. Max Ilgner....called.....He did not mention Ivy Lee,
ho received a large fee from his concern. He did talk a good deal about
business trip he is undertaking to Manchuria where he said his company
ad bought 400,000 bushels of soy beans. I suspect he is on a mission to
xchange poison gases and explosives for Japanese products. Perhaps I am
nfair, but I could not avoid this drift of thought when he talked so
reely and profusely about the beans.

Thus the Rockefeller agent and his great work for "democracy" and the
free nations". This same work goes on today.

The talk against Russia - and this accorded with the exposed Nazi
lot to win back power after the war - was first of a nationalist char-
cter: Russia was the villain. Then the talk switched more frankly to
ommunism: Truman declared, "We do not want a single Communist govern-
ent in the world." Today, although the people of Indo-China favor Ho
hi Minh, (even according to reactionary publications) nevertheless, said
eople must be "saved from Communism". It was the US Chamber of Commerce
hich first publicized the phrase, "International Communist Conspiracy."

But Abraham Lincoln was not considered a Communist (he would be so
onsidered now) when in 1864, he wrote to William P. Elkins:

"I see in the future a crisis arising that unnerves me and causes
e to tremble for the safety of my country. As a result of war, cor-
orations have been enthroned and an era of corruption in high places
ill follow, and the money power of the country will endeavor to prolong
ts reign by working on the prejudices of the people until all wealth is
oncentrated in a few hands and the Republic is destroyed."

A true prophecy!

USA: GOOD NEIGHBOR OR OCTOPUS? (Continued)

By The Editor

The tory Toronto paper prints Mr. McNaught's caustic comment rather to vex the grits than to expose the truth about American imperialism. Y the mere printing of such a letter is one of those pin-pricks which multiply here and abroad and which cannot help reduce the self-esteem and i crease the discomfort of the manifestly destined world rulers.

Likewise disquieting are the voices of sanity to be heard occasionally within the US such as those of steelman Ernest T. Weir, Warren L. Pierson of Trans World Airlines and others who plead for trade and negotiation between East and West.

Moreover the very hysteria of the wolf-cryers, professional and oth wise, is beginning to defeat itself. The trio Schine-McCarthy-Cohn make a farce out of the motivating theme of US fascism: defence from Communism. It is producing what Lippmann calls a "constitutional crisis".

Dulles no sooner comes home from Caracas smiling with triumph than Caracas passes unanimously (US abstaining) a resolution calling for an e to American (and other) imperialism in Latin America.

Then the news from the socialist nations is most discouraging to th who had hoped the capitalist embargo would harm them. On the contrary i seems to have helped them, according to a report recently handed to Mr. Eisenhower.

And now East-West trade is developing in the most embarrassing way, while multiplying overtures of friendship from the Soviet government and peoples towards West Europe begin to wear down the hostility and fear of "red aggression" so assiduously and painfully built up there.

And yet, because for this very reason time runs out on their whole game the imperial birds scream louder than ever for war. One of these, the US Secretary of State, beats his wings in a hungry rage to taste the flesh of the fat old British and French empires. But first he must have their support for his fiendish threat to unleash atomic war on the world It has been remarked that he is "bold". But he is rather desperate than bold. He is on a one-way street, in terror lest it be a dead-end. Long ago he yielded his integrity to the prince of darkness. In word and dee he is on the record. His arrogance, his pretended faith in a cause, his bullying threats, all mask an inner fear. And insofar as he represents American people they too must be cowering in the same fear.

Now it may be said that it is inappropriate for a Canadian to entertain such opinions, let alone express them publicly. The trouble is tha whatever strength may be in the anti-Dulles forces in the US it is not enough to stop his mad career. Moreover we'll all suffer its consequenc whether we live in Toronto or New York, Halifax or San Diego. Neither Canada nor any other part of the British Commonwealth is <u>independent</u> of USA economically, socially, or militarily. Our dependence has been declared by our leaders. In fact Churchill himself, the great protagonist of British imperialism, made the first and then numerous succeeding declarations of dependence.

Yet, although the British and Canadians at present serve the long-range plans of American imperialism and cannot be considered apart from those plans, it is also true that the British and Canadian partnership, while junior and subservient, is frequently in a position to mess up the <u>interim</u> plans of American imperialism. Such plans, for instance, as <u>war now at this very moment</u> with China. Dulles has been snubbed by Britain and France in his call for "united action," meaning war. The question is Do the junior partners here merely pull their boss's coat tails and caution him against haste? Do they object only to his timing? For they don't yet say: <u>no war</u>. They merely tell him to wait for the Geneva conference. If that doesn't succeed they will or <u>may</u> join the "united action". We are not sure which it is. We don't know what secret engagement they may have made. Certainly <u>if</u> they promised support of war should the conference fail, we may be sure Dulles will see to the conference's failure.

The military stalemate in Indo-China is due entirely to Western control of the sea-ports. Take that away and French imperialism in that part the world would soon become ancient history. The entire population of uth East Asia is solidly against imperialism old and new. They know the d (the French) are sick and tired of the fight and would have made peace ng ago if the new (US) had let them. But US business is hypnotized by e wealth of this area and its military know "their" Pacific will be lost South East Asia slips from their grasp.

Neither military nor business is fighting for France. They are out to p t'lot as the Yorkshireman says. James Reston, the New York Times Washgton correspondent says (N. Y. Times, March 24, 1954):

"The State Department has been approaching the French very gingerly r weeks, trying to persuade them to allow the United States to train the tive troops in Indo-China. State Department officials have even denied ories published in this newspaper that such a proposal was being made, t Mr. Wilson blurted it right out this afternoon, ruffling the diplomats ce more."

Here is enough evidence of the deception practised by the US State partment in its underhanded effort to supplant the French in Indo-China. is becomes fairly explicit too in the Judd (House Foreign Affairs Comttee) report of last January which said that "until political independnce has been achieved, an effective fighting force from the Associated ates cannot be expected." Judd is the modern Josiah Strong, Protestant erical imperialist. His meaning is plain: get the French out, get ough Americans in to train native armies. The history of imperialism lls us that, once in, an imperial power is never dislodged except by force.

It is clear what is desired by the parties concerned. The French want hold on to a very rich property they have stolen. The US, wants to steal from the French, needing this property as part of its world anti-socialt empire. The Indo-Chinese want their own property for themselves.

It has been obvious for a long time that Dulles has written off France d Britain. He is gambling everything on the resurgence of the same dynic powers he admired so much during the Second World War: Germany and pan. As James Reston (N.Y. Times, April 11, 1954) says, "There is an easy feeling here, even among Mr. Dulles' supporters in the State Dertment, that he is gambling an awful lot on his own instinct." His ininct points to a grand "holy" alliance paid, ruled and masterminded by e US and manned in Europe by a German-led coalition and in Asia by a panese-led coalition. In order to reach that objective he has meanile to tiptoe around the sensibilities of the static (as opposed to dynic) empires, France and England. For until the US-Japanese-German omic alliance is ready to be sprung on a grateful world, he must depend the French and British to fill the interim power vacuum.

It has also been obvious for a long time that in the Dulles brothers have a pair of egomaniacs who, if given enough power, would turn into romaniacs of the authentic Hitler type. For the moment they have the wer. One country they have burned: Korea. They move towards Indoina. The frightful thing about them is that they command the respect the American people who have been criminally misdirected by their press d by their church leaders.

The production called American Century is in the hands of these lles brothers. Allen works in the wings, John on the stage. Their eatest worry must be as to how much time they will have before someone rns in the alarm. Politics, domestic as well as foreign, is hazardous. hn, dictatorial by nature and inclination, operates now under the form democracy. We are under the strong impression that he, no less than Carthy, would be glad enough to drop the pretence and operate a nakedly scist USA. In fact McCarthy could be made to serve as the foil in conast with whom Dulles will appear as "constitutional", the "Christian ntleman".

This is the most dangerous period in the life of the USA. In half century this Union has aged two hundred years or more. The accelerated

pace of history has pushed into the faces of the American imperialists n problems than can be seen, let alone solved. The old gun-boat has turne into the carrier, the old cannon into the atom bomb, and both remain bru force, bullying, body killing and nothing more. The gorilla remains the gorilla.

Alexander, Caesar, have had their say and their sway. But the prophets of man have been everywhere sowing their say, and their say is now swaying the souls of myriads throughout the globe. These are deaf to the threats of bombs. They have breathed one breath of freedom and are now freedom-bound.

So Dulles is in a hurry, a desperate hurry, lest the American peopl wake up to his real intentions and strip him of power, lest the British and French suddenly perceive his intended double-cross and embark on the road of reason, trade, and peace.

To sum up the situation as it has developed since 1945, we believe must be admitted that the USA, whether blindly following its instincts o aggrandizement or in obedience to a high destiny, did, at the end of Wor War II, seize positions of power right up to the boundaries of the socia parts of the earth. On the other hand it must be admitted that the USSR has restrained its expansive power except in closely peripheral areas. has even pulled back from some of these areas, as in Iran. In other wor the USA has exhibited offensive power, the USSR defensive.

These facts are explicable rather on military than on moral grounds The feeling of moral justification for expansion belonged equally to bot centers of power, but the military preponderance through the monopoly of the atomic bomb fell to the US and remained with the US during the half decade before 1949. Since 1949 with every passing year it becomes more and more of a toss-up as to which side is militarily the stronger. Walte Lippmann, the wise counsellor of US imperialism, has been vainly trying bring the US up-to-date on this. He has failed because the drunkenness caused by the possession of what seemed like a monopoly of absolute powe carried over into the period when the monopoly had been broken. It ap- pears to be extremely difficult for the people as well as the legislator of the US to regain their equilibrium after what must be called the orgy of Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

The H-bomb explosions and the attack on Dr. Oppenheimer are sympto- matic of the mental and moral darkness settling over this continent. Fo Dr. Oppenheimer represents the conscience of America alive to the meanin of the bomb and active where it had the best chance of counting for some thing. Clerical fascism is determined to crush this conscience and ther fore must crush Oppenheimer first and then afterwards crush all opposit to the bomb and to every other possible device for mass murder.

The picture of US imperialism thus shapes up as a fearsome picture. In terms of brute force--however refined by the misuse of science it is still sheer brutality--it is symbolized by the H-bomb. In terms of tric ery and greed and ecclesiastical power lust it is symbolized by Dulles o the State Department and Mgr. Walsh of Georgetown. Through Walsh the US has been harnessed to the ultramontane faction of the Vatican. Through Dulles the US big business octopus wraps its tentacles around the dying empires and their colonies. Through Walsh the US yields its soul to the psychopathic fear of socialism, under the influence of which it stands helpless before McCarthy.

Those who presently guide the thinking of anti-fascists on this con tinent are woefully inadequate in their appraisal of what they are up against. We fear that it is not to be expected that they will take thei noses out of handbooks dealing with other climes and times and open thei eyes to what goes forward here and now: a planned effort to capture the American democracy for a cleric-instructed totalitarian feudal capitalis in other words clerical fascism.

It is part and parcel of fascist planning to make it appear that there is no such thing as fascist planning. The fascists (they do exist

nd already have reached key positions in government) rely on the innate aziness of human nature to brush off the whole rise of fascism as nothing ore than the short-sighted blundering of a lot of greedy businessmen nich will certainly lead to nothing but trouble and more trouble for said usinessmen. And it is innocently assumed that when trouble comes and busness gets all snarled up the people will automatically unsnarl it as they id in Roosevelt's day.

What we don't seem to realize is that the fascists have a program for ne Americas. This is a program of war, first on Communism, and then on iberalism; but it is not only that, it is also a positive program of soial and economic pacification, a plan to organize the entire life of the ations in support of those giant business enterprises whose economic writ uns throughout the non-socialist world. The plan is, briefly, the corporative state adapted to the formal aspects of the present political system in each country concerned. For instance in the USA it will be formally nd verbally forced into the pattern of American democracy, as Huey Long oresaw. This plan is not merely a blueprint of a future, a bad sad future or men and women, but it includes the ways and means for getting to that uture. These ways and means have been tried many times down through cenuries, usually with success.

The formula is always the same, the variations slight. The problem s to condition the people so that they will not complain while being leeced and slaughtered in large numbers. To do this a false patriotism nd a false religion are inculcated. Hate for an "enemy" conjured up out the depths of hell is ground into their souls. A false unity is hamered out of mass terror.

In the midst of this the planners' agents may quarrel among themselves, witness the Army-McCarthy row, but agents are a dime a dozen and if one them misconceives his role or departs from the script he can be dropped. hrough it all the cartels of church and business remain unseen, unreachble, untouchable. You never see Mr. Aldrich or Mr. Rockefeller in these rawls. Or the clerical emissaries of the Vatican State. These are the onstant factors in what goes forward today in non-socialist lands.

The people themselves are hard put to it to find even some little rag n which to inscribe their views and aspirations. The planners have fasened their claws into every organization ostensibly serving the people. ne most recent example of this was the attack by its own leadership on ne National Farmers' Union, once an honest popular movement, of which nere is now left only a remnant, the Iowa Division and the Eastern Divion. Can this remnant arouse the American people to its fate? If it can, will have performed an historic purpose. If it can't, I don't know nere else any hope lies.

Its chief spokesman is Fred Stover of Iowa who has already shown ualities of leadership comparable to those of Abraham Lincoln. Stover s, like Lincoln, a kind of guarantee of the continuing greatness of the nerican people. If America's soul can be kept, it will be kept in the interland. If the stranglehold of the cartels is to be loosened, it will e loosened by elements which inherit most of the characteristics of the ld bible-reading pioneers of the mid-West.

We suggest that the forces for democracy, all of them, whether labor nions, small business, free churches, family farmers, from all parts of ne country should invite themselves into the fight now centering in the owa Division's battle to re-establish the lost integrity of the National armers Union. Here the fight, distant from the center of national desions as it may appear to be, can very well be turned into the pinch ar to pry cartel power off the stage of human affairs.

ONE

(Formerly The Protestant)

MAY 1954

EDITOR
Kenneth Leslie

ASSOCIATE EDITOR
Rev. John Tunnicliffe

EDITORIAL ADVISERS

Archbishop Adam
Rev. William T. Baird
Rev. Russell C. Barbour
Rev. Reginald H. Bass
Rev. C. Harrison Becker
Bishop Albert Bereczky
Henrietta Buckmaster
Rev. R. Alex Cameron
Rev. Boise Dent
Bishop Laszlo Dezsery
Rev. I Jonathan Domas
Rev. H. deM. DuBois
Rev. Merlin W. Ennis
Rev. Stanley Evans
Rev. John Fekete
Rev. Joseph F. Fletcher
Rev. Erwin A. Gaede
Rev. Sidney E. Harris
Rev. A. Eustace Haydon
Rev. Daniel Hughes
Rev. Hewlett Johnson
Rev. Imre Kadar
Rev. J. Spencer Kennard, Jr
Rev. Ferenc H. Kiss
John A. Lee
David Lord
Rev. E. D. McGowan
Prof. John Macmurray
Rev. John A. Maynard
Rev. Laszlo Michnay
Rev. Joseph G. Moore
Rev. J. Murdock Palmer
Bishop John Peter
Ida Pruit
Rev. D. McKeen Reid
Leslie Roberts
Raymond Robins
Rev. R. Ross-Shannon
Watson Thomson
Bishop Lajos Veto
Rev. Wilfred Wakefield
Rev. Etienne Watts
Prof. Henry N. Wieman
Rev. Claude Williams
Rev. Mercer Wilson

ONE is published monthly by New Christian Books, 2 Seymour Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia. By subscription only. 12 issues $3.25. Checks and money orders payable to **New Christian Books. No agents.**

ONE

He hath made of one blood
All Nations

May 1954 Edited by Kenneth Leslie

HOLBROOK LIBRARY
PACIFIC SCHOOL
OF RELIGION

CLARIFYING THE NEWS

By Louise Harding

U.S.A.: GOOD NEIGHBOR OR OCTOPUS?

Part II

By The Editor

Vol. X, No. 7 30 Cents